

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/702,369	GORANS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrea M. Valenti	3643	

All Participants:

(1) Andrea M. Valenti.

(2) Kevin Raasch.

Status of Application: Examiner's amendment

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 December 2007

Time: 10:10am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

19-23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant to discuss an examiner's amendment to cancel claims 19-21 since these three independent claims were almost identical to allowable claims 11, 15, and 1 but did not recite that the tongue control protrusion protruded from the surface of the beak receiving aperture. Claims 22 and 23 would be amended to positively recite that the tongue control protrusion protruding from a surface of the beak receiving aperture. Applicant approved the amendments. Please see attached examiner's amendment for further details of the changes..